

**Meeting February 23, 2017
10am- 12pm
921 Kaneff Tower**

Attending: R. Haché (Chair), T. Loebel, C. Haig-Brown, C. Young , B. Edwards (VPRI)

Phone: C. Fisher, G. Wakefield

Regrets: K. Drake, D. Zaharieva, J. Orbinski, H. Wu, S. Flicker, D. Ipperciel, J. Chu, J. Laurin, F. Kurasawa, T. Noseworthy, R. Lee

Agenda Item	Notes	Action Item
Chairs Remarks	<p>Chair remarked on the SRP draft in general and noted that the draft is not meant to be in a final state, but rather the experience has been that it will change another 10% going forward.</p> <p>Chair requested that Advisory Committee members review the draft and send their feedback (using track changes function if possible) and send to Chair directly or B. Edwards (barbb@yorku.ca).</p> <p>Chair noted that much of the draft has been changed from last Plan (approximately 80%). The new SRP has evolved from the current plan in a number of meaningful ways including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stronger focus on interdisciplinary work. Broad strokes approach rather than Faculty-Unit- or Organized-Research-Unit-specific lens; • Increased emphasis on partnered and community-engaged research; • Ambition for research achievement enhanced; • Stronger linkages to York’s University Academic Plan and current Strategic Mandate Agreement; and • Commitment to collating and articulating outputs of our scholarship more broadly (cited electronic CVs). <p>Chair noted we have not included the SMA metrics- both institutional and system wide metrics-referencing them with a view towards SMA 3. Member suggested that metrics should be included but cautioned that they should be couched in impact language rather than strict numbers- this gives a more nuanced style, and speaks to more of what we value.</p>	

	<p>Chair remarked on the draft distribution and next steps for consultations- has already shared the draft with PVP and will release the draft to the broader community on Wednesday Feb. 28th Faculty councils will be visited throughout March.</p> <p>An Open Forum with community to present the draft and allow for feedback will be scheduled shortly.</p>	
<p>Discussion on Draft</p>	<p>Member commented about the draft’s new approach to focus research with a more interdisciplinary lens. Faculties may not see themselves as distinctly as last SRP- suggested should be reflected in the presentation to Faculty members to provide the right emphasis.</p> <p>Member noted that it will be important to get more feedback from AMPD on “Analyzing Cultures and Mobilizing Creativity” as well as the “Digital Cultures” areas.</p> <p>Member inquired about how consultation engagement will be conducted going forward. Chair clarified that there will be another round of consultations with Faculty Councils, a second Open Forum, and Chair requested that members meet with some of the members of their Faculty over the next two months to garner some more informal feedback.</p> <p>Member commented that the Draft maintains a good amount of flexibility- felt positively about it.</p> <p>Suggested that “Advancing Fundamental Inquiry and Critical Knowledge”- still remained quite specific, and could be more general in tone. Recommended that using the term ‘science’ rather than more defined terms like ‘chemistry’, ‘biology’ etc. But stressed the effectiveness of the examples used- they work well. Chair requested that C. Young supply some content for this section.</p> <p>Suggested more reference to teaching and learning within the document.</p> <p>SRP Process: Chair reminded members to send back a draft with feedback and asked members to seek to directly engage their Faculty with an informal follow up feedback session. Confirmed that will be presenting the SRP to Senate for approval in May Chair will be presenting the Draft to APPRC next week.</p>	
<p>Other Business</p>	<p>Barbara Edwards will be in touch to schedule the April meeting shortly</p>	<p>VPRI to canvass for next meeting dates</p>

--	--	--