

<p style="text-align: center;">Meeting January 23, 2017 2:00pm- 4:00pm 901 Kaneff Tower</p> <p>Attending: R. Haché (Chair), T. Loebel, T. Noseworthy, C. Haig-Brown, R. Lee (representative), F. Kurasawa , B. Edwards (VPRI) Phone:, J. Laurin, C. Fisher, G. Wakefield Regrets: K. Drake, D. Zaharieva, J. Orbinski, H. Wu, S. Flicker, C. Young, D. Ipperciel, J. Chu,</p>		
Agenda Item	Notes	Action Item
What we are Hearing (update)	<p>Chair noted most of the feedback is now complete. Reviewed new feedback that the VPRI has received through consultations- some evolution to the areas of strength and updates noted, and the addition of ‘research transfer’ to the research intensification enabler section. Noted increasing validation of AI as an area within consultations</p> <p>Chair commented that the proposed Indigenous research area of opportunity- noted C. Haig-Brown is taking a lead on this. Will be reaching out to the community and getting a sense on how to have an impact, where the critical mass is.</p> <p>Member commented that socially engaged research should be included in the Indigenous research area- noted synergies. Chair mentioned that efforts will be made to feature Indigenous research across a broad range of areas.</p>	
Consultation Engagement Feedback- Ongoing/ Upcoming Sessions	<p><u>LA&PS feedback session highlights</u>- within research strengths, strongly emphasized equitable rather than sustainable as a term, within both research strengths and opportunities.</p> <p>The notion of sustainability implies a lack of challenge with mainstream, focus on social equity and distribution of resources instead. Emphasized that there will be strong pushback from LA&PS if sustainability is used.</p> <p>Other member noted sustainability has many facets and is not quite so narrow, dependent on the discipline. Stressed that it is important to clearly define the word within the surrounding text.</p> <p>Chair noted that we should be careful within the plan and not overuse the term.</p> <p>Other notables- ‘Exploring the Frontiers of Science and Technology’-should be ‘Exploring and <u>Interrogating</u> the Frontiers of Science and Technology’.</p> <p>Areas of power and inequalities are a focus and strength at</p>	

	<p>York- this should be flagged as an area of research strength. Noted that those in LA&PS didn't necessarily consider the areas fully reflective of the research within their Faculty. Suggested 'Forging a Just and <u>Equitable World</u>' – other members noted their agreement with the addition of 'equitable'. Chair asked F. Kurasawa to write a paragraph or two to help define the "Forging a Just and Equitable World"</p> <p>Areas of research opportunity- added 'environments' to the healthy individuals area- suggesting 'Healthy Individuals, Healthy Communities, Global Health and <u>Environments</u>'</p> <p>AI & society – LA&PS suggested that this opportunity area be framed broadly with new forms of knowledge to be included. Also noted that the focus of the Digital Cultures area is perceived to be too narrow.</p> <p><u>AMPD feedback session highlights-</u></p> <p>Indigenous research – excitement within AMPD about focus, but also a sense that there should be a cluster of hires to sustain leadership in this area. Suggested the addition of an area focused on 'Research Creation'- could be framed as a larger space where York has been a leader, especially in AMPD. Both a strength and opportunity. Recommended that socially engaged research not be folded into other sections rather than be highlighted separately.</p>	
<p>Applied Research & Research Translation</p>	<p>Chair framed the question "how do we deal with the increase in applied and translational research activities in the new SRP?"</p> <p>Member cautioned using the terms entrepreneurship and innovation, but recommended building in the narrative a focus on the benefits of research.</p> <p>Commented that the framing of the knowledge mobilization is too narrow. The idea is that we are generating benefits for civil society and the public good- involving all kinds of users. The language of 'market' as a placeholder for society within the framing of knowledge mobilization at York should be expanded, shouldn't be the sole encapsulation of this area. Suggested speaking to about the user base, 'communities' rather than 'markets'. Schulich would use the word market but open to other framing.</p> <p>Chair suggested 'audiences, public and markets' to further contextualize it.</p> <p>Further commented that translation from knowing to doing – that relationship of knowledge creation to making better and changing outcomes– that lifecycle has been translational for scholars.</p> <p>Chair framed the question "what is the York advantage</p>	

	<p>here?"</p> <p>Commented that at York social engagement is a differentiator.</p> <p>Chair noted that greater consideration of the uptake and impacts of knowledge is a defining characteristic</p> <p>Highlighted Osgoode as socially responsible/ socially engaged, and noted scholarship has responsibility to the broader public.</p> <p>Member noted the staleness of social justice- we need something more dynamic, old phrasing doesn't capture the energy of the work created</p> <p>Member suggested interdisciplinarity as a unique differentiator. Chair agreed it is important and hope that we don't lose that as a characteristic – continues to have a large impact.</p> <p>Chair asked if members think of York as young in age?</p> <p>Commented that many think of York as big rather than young. Member suggested that we are young in our endowment levels in comparison to others.</p> <p>Chair mentioned that the research transfer narrative be moved more upfront in the Plan. Application of the scholarship is having a real impact on society- recognition that we are a broad community.</p> <p>How do we speak about research transfer with Indigenous research?</p> <p>Commented that reciprocity is one of the major tenants in Indigenous research- noted that it is articulated within the tri-council policy too. Clarified that it is not a hierarchical system.</p> <p>Commented that the direction received from a variety of feedback from the community has been to name the opportunity "Indigenous Furturity"- recognizing and focusing on the future but not losing sight of the past.</p> <p>Agreement from other members.</p> <p>Member noted that Engineering is looking at infrastructure within Indigenous communities being built, industry development and business.</p> <p>Next steps- draft Plan to be expected before the next Advisory Committee meeting.</p>	
Other Business	Barbara Edwards will be in touch to schedule the February meeting shortly	VPRI to canvass for next meeting dates